Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIATACSIMILE.

Dear Commissioner Copps.

Extending corporate ideals squashes individual rights. Money doesn't rule America, the citizen doesn't

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. I urge the l'ederal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer it switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition. I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content — I can modify, create, and participate. I can record IV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a IV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that Lenjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, illexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television. I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely.

Timothy Keller 11131 Rose Ave Los Angeles, CA 90034

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street, NW washington DC 20554

JIA FACSIMILE

D-ar Commissioner Copps

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying ligital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for vet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition. I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my third's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable flexible and exciting what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV inching is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer a powent. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television. Lurge you to promote the digital transition by apposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely

Allan Graves 214 Mitchell St Manchester NH 03103 Thursday Ontober 15 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-13th Street NW Washington DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Deur Commissioner Copps

is a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. Furge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." Fam gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way Lenjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for Let another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

in addition. Lam very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's sect riology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can ecold. To to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my shilld's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable. Ilexible, and exciting what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television. Lurge you to promote the digital transition by apposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely

Thomas Mercier
- Quai! Run
Fewksbury MA 01876

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street NW Washington DC 20554

ATA FACSIMILL

Dear Commissioner Copps.

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record IV to watch later, clip a small piece of IV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

It the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Robert Schwer 109 Snowden Dr Pittsburgh PA 15229

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VEN FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition. I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that Lenjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a critizen and consumer of broadcast television. I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely.

Kenneth S. Cochran 451 Sapelo Rd Jacksonville, FL 32216

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street NW Washington DC 20554

VIVIA CSEMILE.

Dear Commissioner Copps

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content—I can modify, create, and participate I can record IV to watch later clip a small piece of IV and splice it into a home movie send an email clip of my child's tootball game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable flexible, and exciting what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television. I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Jared Newbold (5.8 Main St #5 Togan, UT 84321

Commissionei Michael J Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag

Sincerely,

A Katavic 1230 Scarlet Ct Addison, IL 60101

Commissioner Michiel J. Copps 448-12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIVI ACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps.

concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal

it switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer are more expensive and less valuable MPAV and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and

onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content - I can modify, create, and control and flexibility that I enjoy participate. I can record IV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's

ingevou to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television. I equipment? A prettier TV preture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current Hexible, and exeiting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable

Sincerety.

Sheldon Ceresnie 14221 kriigston Oak Park MI 48237

-

Hinrsday October 29 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 44 ; 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIVLACSIMILL

Dear Commissioner Copps

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I targe the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buving digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buving new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my hving room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition. I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record IV to watch later clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my shild's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that Lenjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier IV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag

Sincerely

Michael Kiminel 5005 Windsor Avenue Minneapolis - MN 55476

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps.

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag "I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for vet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my miend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely

Bronislaw A. Skiba 32 Bluff Street Salem, NH 03079 Hiursday, October 23 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

in addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Devon Loffreto 1 Darus Court Sterling, VA 20164

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In particular, I am very unhappy that I am (potentially) being asked to foot the bill for the content producers scheme to curtail my fair-use rights. I am also very unhappy that the proprietary nature of the scheme will limit my hardware choices to those manufactures who license this fair-use killing technology

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friends apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely.

William M. Tonkin 455 east 57th Street, Apt 5 C Hew York HY 10022 nusua - Optober 25 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 441 12th Street NW washington DC 20554

A FACSIMILE

ਹ ਗ Commissioner Copps

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a gradual flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying about it felevision equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition an inaking us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

n addition. Lam very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's a mology I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate I can record TV to watch later clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie send an email clip of my shard's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's inpartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

fine move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and xoring, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV wishing is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by apposing the broadcast flag.

Ringerely

rodd Cason 1903 Ozark Trail - marillo TX 79109

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street NW Washington, DC 20554

VENTACSIMILLE

Deat Commissioner Copps

How many times should we have to pay to get this stuff. We buy the equipment, we pay for the advertising and online service. Lather they have a product for us or they don't....

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. Furge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." Fam gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way Lemov television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my hying room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content—I can modify, create, and participate. I can record IV to watch later—clip a small piece of IV and splice it into a home movie—send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's ipai thient. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that Lenjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely

Sherry Rollins 9760 N El Uno Minor Jucson AZ 85749

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 12th Street NW Weshington DC 20554

VP FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. Furge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

reddition. Lam very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's spartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

(the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable. flexible, and exciting what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by a nating the broadcast flag.

Sincerely

Jeremy Handel 1902 E Elmdale CT Milwaukee W! 53211

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Dana Netherton 715 Aramis Drive, St. Louis Saint Louis, MO 63141

Commissioner Michael J. Сорря 447-12th Street NW Washington, DC 20554

VIVE ACSIMILE.

Dear Commissioner Copps.

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

the digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to funder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition. Lam very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content—I can modify, create, and participate. I can record 4V to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's tootball game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that Lenjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television. I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely

Jeff Greenberg 1600 Hagy's Ford Road, 11-T. Penn Valley Natherth PV 10072 Huusday, October 23 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 17th Street. NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag "I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely

Scott H. Robinson 4527 SW Humphrey Court Portland OR 97221

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street NW Washington DC 20554

ATA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. Furge the Lederal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way Lenjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record IV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my shild's tootball game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that Lenjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A pretiter TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast tlag.

Sincerely

Peter Holmes 62⁻6 Phornerest Dr Bloomfæld Bloomfæld Hills MI 48301

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of bi oadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag

Sincerely,

Paul Pappas 12 Walden West Rd Bernville, PA 19506

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 T2th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA LACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag "I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room to yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friends apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

John Simmons 7140 Stephenson-Levey Rd Burleson, TX 76028

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast rlag.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey D. Wilkes 2318 N. Main St Anderson, SC 29621

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my cluld's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag

Sincerely

Michelle Dreher 184 Funston #6 San Francisco, CA 94118

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 415 12th Street. NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA LACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps.

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. Lurge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " Lam gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer it switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition. I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that Lenjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A pretition TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television. I tage you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

NO'

Sincerely

M Miller W1510 Sandstone Avenue Ripon, W1 54971

Commissioner Michael J Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friends apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag

Sincerely,

ALIF Rafi 26 Halgren Crescent Haverstraw, NY 10927